

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/710,652	QUARANTA, MICHAEL P.	

Examiner
Mahesh H. Dwivedi

Art Unit
2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mahesh H. Dwivedi. (3) _____

(2) Robert Curcio (Reg # 44,638). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 01/23/2008, the examiner contacted the applicant's representative Mr. Robert Curcio (Reg # 44,638) to discuss the instant application. Specifically, the examiner asked whether he could make an examiner's amendment to the specification in order to make the instant application allowable. Specifically, the examiner stated that a brief description of Figure 5 needed to be inserted in the "Brief Description of the Drawings" section of the specification. Mr. Curcio indicated that he would be fine with the examiner making such an amendment.